

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಕೆಲವಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಾದ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜೆ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟ.—ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿರುವುದನ್ನು ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—I refer to clause (d) of the question. The question refers to meeting of objections by the Government. The reply given is: They will be kept in view while examining the issue.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The answer given is quite relevant. It is stated that the objections would be kept in view at the time of examining the issue.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—May I know whether the two municipalities of Hubli and Dharwar have been consulted and they have given their willingness!

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—It is too early to disclose all these things. Every step will be taken to safeguard the interests of the municipalities and citizens living there.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳೆಲ್ಲರತ್ತೂ ಜನಗಳು ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ತಯಾರಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವಾಗ ಅಮಿನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಭಾವನೆ.

Constitution of Coir Board.

*Q.—96. Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA (Arsikere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when the Coir Board was constituted by the Government;

(b) the names of the Chairman and members of the Board;

(c) the basis on which they are nominated?

A.—Sri MALI MARAPPA (Minister for Co-operation).—

(a) On 3rd July 1959.

(b) (1) Shri K. K. Setty, M.L.C., Mangalore.—Chairman.

(2) Shri T. N. Mudalagiri Gowda, M.L.A., Nagavalli, Tumkur Taluk.

(3) Shri Mayasandra N. Srikantiah, Land-holder, Turuvekere Town, Tumkur District.

(4) Shri A. M. Basave Gowda, B.Sc., M.L.A., Chickmagalur.

(5) Shri B. Rachiah, B.Sc., B.L., M.L.A., Chamarajanagar.

(6) Shri T. Madiiah Gowda, Ex-Member of Parliament, Ramanagaram, Bangalore District.

(7) Shri Mallikarjunaswami, B.A., LL.B., M.L.A., Malavalli, Mandya District.

(8) Shri R. S. Hegde, B.A., LL.B., Social Worker, Honnavar, North Kanara.

(9) Shri Hari Pai, Ankola, North Kanara.

(10) Shri Suryanarayana Joshi, B.A., B.L., Gulur, Bagepalli Taluk.

(11) Shri Y. Ramakrishna, B.Sc., B.L., M.L.A., Magadi Road, Gopalapura, Bangalore City.

(12) Smt. Dyavamma, M.L.A. Gandasi, Hassan District.

(13) Shri Shivanna, Municipal President, Shiralkoppa, Shimoga District.

(14) M. Rama Reddy, Church Extension, Chitaldrug.

(15) Shri S. K. Kallapur, All India Khadi and Village Industries Commission, Dharwar.

Official Members.

1 The Secretary to Government, Commerce and Industries Department, Bangalore.

2 The Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Mysore. Bangalore.

3 Joint Director of Industries and Commerce (R.I.), Bangalore.

4 Coir Expert, Industries and Commerce Department, (Secretary and Convener).

(c) Their advice will help the development and organisation of Coir industry in the State.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Before constituting the Coir Board, has the Government made any survey in the matter of availability of coir in the State and the places where it is available?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—A rough survey has been made.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—May I know the quantity of coir available in the State?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—I can give the information a little later.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—In answer to (c) of the question it is stated that their advice will help the development of coir industry in the State. What is the basis on which these members are nominated?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—Perhaps the questioner wants to know the basis on which the personnel is nominated and so the answer is given to cover that point only.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—I want to know the qualifications, experience, etc., of the members nominated on the Board in the matter of Coir Industry. Is it not a fact that only Congressmen are appointed?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—That is not correct.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Of these, how many belong to Congress and how many are non-Congress?

(No answer)

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—May I know whether this Board comes under party scheme or national scheme?

(Laughter)

Why is the Government appointing only Congress Members to these Boards? Is there any dearth in other parties?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—That is only an inference of the Hon'ble Member.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—Why are you putting only Congressmen as Chairmen of these Boards?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—If I may intervene, the insinuation that we appoint only Congressmen as Chairmen is not correct. Out of seven Chairmen of the Minimum Wages Committees, I have appointed six persons who are non-Congressmen.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—How many of these persons have acquired knowledge in coir industry?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—All of them.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—Do the persons 7, 10, 11 and 14 know anything about coir?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—They know sufficiently well.

— — —

Conversion of Higher Elementary School into Middle School in Kollegal Taluk.

*Q.—146. Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palaiyam).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether representations have been made praying for the conversion of the Higher Elementary Schools in Kollegal Taluk into Middle Schools;

(b) whether they would take steps in this behalf during this academic year itself?

A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) Yes; one representation has been received.

(b) The question of conversion of Higher Elementary Schools to Middle Schools does not arise, as reorganized Primary Education comprises of standards I to VII. Revised curriculum on such a basis has been introduced in Primary I and II Standards this year. Such introduction to the remaining five classes will follow year after year on a phased basis.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that the Deputy Director of Public Instruction, Mysore, has requested that this higher elementary school has to be converted into middle school?

†Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May be.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What difficulties are there in introducing the syllabus from I to VII standards into these classes?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—If we introduce syllabus for 4, 5 and 6th standards, those classes will have to be opened.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What is the difficulty in applying the syllabus of I and II Forms to 3rd and 4th standards?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—The answer is clear that revised curriculum on such a basis has been introduced in primary I and II standards and such introduction will be made to the remaining five classes.

2 P.M.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of Government that students who have passed the 8th standard will be admitted only in the First Form class and thereby they are required to lose two years? To save that will Government consider the desirability of applying the syllabus of First, Second and Third Forms to these classes?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—At this stage it cannot be done because we are starting from below, but as and when the new curriculum is introduced, automatically the boys will be eligible for high school classes.

Grant of Land to Harijans of Chimanchod Village.

*Q.—147. **Sri J. P. SARWESH (Serum).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that the land in S. No. 83 and 58 of the Chimanchod village, Chincholi Taluk, is paramboke land;

(b) whether it is not a fact that the Harijans of the above village have applied for the said lands;

(c) the steps they have taken in regard to grant of land to the Harijans of the above village?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (b) of the question.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—Will Government consider sympathetically the

question of giving away the abovementioned lands to the co-operative farming society of Harijans of the village?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The lands are included in the forest reserve. Unless the forest authorities make up their minds to release these lands it will be difficult to give away these lands for cultivation. The matter is pending before the Divisional Commissioner. Some Lamanis have applied for these lands and not Harijans.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—Has it come to the notice of Government that there are no trees at all on those lands?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The Divisional Commissioner in consultation with the forest authorities will decide the question.

Amount allotted for Local Development Scheme for 1959-60.

*Q.—150. **Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY (Coondapur).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the total amount allotted to the State by the Government of India, and the amount set apart by the State from its own resources, under the L.D. Scheme for the year 1959-60;

(b) the amounts allotted to South Kanara from the respective funds;

(c) whether any engineering staff has been provided for the execution of these works in each district so far, and if so the details thereof?

A.—Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(a) A provision of Rs. 25 lakhs has been made in the Budget for 1959-60 on the assumption that the Planning Commission would allot to the State a Central Grant of Rs. 20 lakhs. But the Government of India has allotted a total amount of Rs. 10,933 lakhs for taking up drinking water supply works in the non-Development Block areas under the Local Development Works Programme during the financial year 1959-60. This grant is

supplemented by a State grant of Rs. 5 lakhs and has been distributed among the Districts.

A separate grant of Rs. 8 lakhs has also been distributed for taking up works other than water supply works in non-Development Block areas. This expenditure is met entirely from the State funds.

(b) (i) For taking up drinking water supply works :—

	Rs.
Central grant ...	23,000
State grant ...	11,500
Total ...	34,500

(ii) For taking up other categories of works :—

	Rs.
State grant ...	18,000

(c) No.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Supplementary to (c). In answer to (c) it is stated that no separate engineering staff has been provided. Do then Government really want to spend this amount or do they want to allow it to lapse?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—We are anxious to spend the amount. There is engineering staff to give technical advice.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Which engineering staff. Do they mean the Local Development engineering staff or any other staff allotted for the particular purpose?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Local Development Work staff of South Kanara. From 1st October 1956 the Puttur Division staff was abolished. There is only one taluk in South Kanara, namely, Udipi, without a Community Development Block and there with effect from 1st June 1959 this has been applied, but the work has been entrusted to the Public Works Department and they give technical advice.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Have Government not received any representations that in view of the fact that the Public Works Department is not in a position to look after these works separate staff may be provided to look after these works?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—We have not received any such representations. Anyhow we will examine the position and if necessary we will sanction additional staff.

— — —

Separate Director of Public Instruction for Primary Education.

*Q.—155. **Sri S. KARIAPPA** (Virupakshapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is not a fact that, for some years past, there is a proposal to have a separate Director of Public Instruction for Primary Education;

(b) the action taken in the matter?

A—**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI** (Minister for Education).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are Government aware that there was a proposal to appoint a separate Director for Primary Education to implement one of the substantive recommendations of the Mysore Reforms Committee?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—There is no proposal before the Government.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In view of the fact that the present set-up is not satisfactory from the point of view of elementary education, will Government consider the feasibility of appointing a separate Director for Primary Education?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—There was a proposal to appoint a separate Deputy Director for Primary Education and not a separate Director.

— — —

Promotion of Tahasildars in Belgaum Division.

*Q.—176. **Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO** (Hirekerur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that fourteen Tahasildars from Belgaum Division are long overdue for promotion as Assistant Commissioners?

(SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO)

(b) whether it is also a fact that they have been superseded by Tahasildars from other Division;

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—May I draw the attention of Government to Part III of the Gazette dated 18th July 1957 and may I know whether they have followed the directions contained therein?

†Sri B. D. JATTI.—We have strictly followed it.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—May I know whether they have followed the directions from the Centre in this regard that the inter-State seniority should not be disturbed while promoting officers?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—We have not disturbed it. We have followed it and we have given equal opportunities for all the servants coming from the different regions.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—The Chief Minister was pleased to say that the order is not disturbed, but is it not a fact from the list given on page 392 of the Gazette that the 12 Tahsildars of 1947 appointed in 19 9 have been superseded by those of 1948?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It does not depend upon the number of years service, but it depends upon the Inter-State seniority which is fixed. It may or may not be correct, but it is followed.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Is the Chief Minister sure that the printed answer is correct?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is presumed to be correct.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—May I understand that the promotions are made strictly in accordance with the principles laid down by the Centre and contained in the Gazette dated 18th July 1957?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I have already replied to it.

Representation to Muslims.

*Q.—333. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud) [on behalf of Sri A. MOHAMMED SAIT (Mysore City North)].—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the population of Muslims in the new Mysore State;

(b) whether representation is given to Muslims in educational institutions, employment, committees constituted by the Government for various purposes, and particularly in the Cabinet in proportion to their population?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(a) 19,44,858.

(b) This is a matter of opinion. For admission to certain educational institutions, there is a reservation of 5.6 per cent. In respect of direct recruitment to Government services, there is a reservation of 7 per cent. There is no reservation in respect of other appointments, but all factors including the need to have different interests represented are taken into account.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Question (b) has not been properly answered. The question is “whether representation is given to Muslims in educational institutions.....”. The answer is “this is a matter of opinion” and in certain institutions there is a reservation of 5.6 per cent and for certain posts there is a reservation of 7 per cent. It is not stated what are those institutions where 5.6 per cent reservation is made and what are the posts for which 7 per cent reservation is made. The bane of Mysore administration has been that some classes of people are ignored. I want to know what exactly is the policy of Government in regard to Muslims. What are those “certain institutions” where 5.6 per cent reservation is made and what are the appointments for which no reservation is made and why?

Sri L. S. VENKAJI RAO.—Is it not a fact that the Government understood the questioner's mind well before answering the question?